

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/734,072	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Trenton J. Roche	2193

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Trenton J. Roche.

(3) _____

(2) Anthony H. Azure, Reg. No. 52,580.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 13, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicants' representative and noted that the case is close to being in condition for allowance, except for a 101 issue regarding claim 19. The Examiner suggested adding language about the system comprising a processor executing instructions and that this would cure the 101 deficiency. Furthermore, the Examiner noted that a grammatical issue with each of the independent claims should be corrected. Applicants' representative agreed to the changes which will be made via examiner's amendment.